UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,865	02/26/2002	Paul Gothard Knutson	PU020044	4695
JOSEPH S. TR	7590 12/27/2006 IPOLI	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ULTIMEDIA LICENSIN	NGUYEN-BA,	NGUYEN-BA, HOANG-VU A	
2 INDEPENDE P. O. BOX 531		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETON,	NJ 08543-5312	2623	2623	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		12/27/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/083,865	KNUTSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Hoang-Vu A. Nguyen-Ba	2623 orrespondence address			
Period fo						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	<u>ebruary 2002</u> .				
,—	,—	action is non-final.	. •			
3) 🔲 .	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims	•				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers		•			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 February 2002</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date 2/26/02.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/083,865 Page 2

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to the application filed February 26, 2002.
- 2. Claims 1-14 have been examined.

Priority

3. The priority date considered for this application is February 26, 2002.

Oath/Declaration

4. The Office acknowledges receipt of a properly signed oath/declaration filed June 3, 2002 in response to a Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application mailed March 22, 2002.

Information Disclosure Statement

5. The Office acknowledges receipt of the Information Disclosure Statement filed February 26, 2002. It has been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered.

Drawings

6. The drawings filed February 26, 2002 are accepted by the examiner.

Specification

- 7. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which Applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 8. The specification is objected to because of the following minor

Art Unit: 2623

informality: the Abstract contain more than 150 words.

Claim Objection

9. Claims 1 and 8 are objected to because of the following minor informalities: the limitation "uplink and downlink circuitry" should be changed to – uplink and downlink circuitries – since the uplink circuitry and the downlink circuitry are separate and functionally different (see FIG. 5, block 48).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which form the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 1-5, 7-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,825,327 to Krasner.

Claims 1 and 8

Krasner discloses a GPS Receiver comprising at least:

tuning circuitry (see at least FIG. 1B, items 30, 31, 41) operative to tune a first satellite GPS signal (FIG. 1A, item "GPS ANTENNA 1") and a second satellite GPS signal (FIG. 1A, item "GPS ANTENNA 2") received from an outdoor unit of the satellite ground system;

first processing circuitry in communication with the tuning circuitry and operative to obtain carrier frequency offset data of one of the first and second satellite television signals (see at least 6:14-23; it is noted that the Dual PLL Synthesizer 42 in conjunction with the DSP IC 10 adjust the frequency of the oscillators 41 and 44 to correct the Doppler offset which is due to difference between the received carrier signal and the generated carrier frequency by the oscillators 41 and 44);

oscillator circuitry operative to generate an oscillator signal (FIG. 1B, items 41-44); and

second processing circuitry in communication with the first processing circuitry and the oscillator circuitry, the second processing circuitry operative to frequency stabilize the oscillator signal utilizing the obtained carrier frequency offset data, and provide the frequency stabilized oscillator signal to uplink and downlink circuitry of the outdoor satellite television signal unit (FIG. 1A, item 16 and FIG. 1B, item 42).

Krasner does not disclose that the system is a unit of television system. However, the system taught by Krasner does provide capability to track and receive two satellite signals and an uplink circuit to send signals up to the satellite (at least FIGs. 1A-B). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system taught by Krasner to receive and send television signals instead of GPS signals for the purpose of reducing the costs of additional hardware (see at least 1:31-44) needed for a consumer ground station.

Art Unit: 2623

Claims 2 and 9

Krasner further discloses wherein the first processing circuitry includes a carrier tracking loop (see at least FIG. 1B, item 42; it is noted that a phase lock loop is another term of art for carrier tracking loop).

Claims 3 and 10

Krasner further discloses wherein the carrier tracking loop includes a loop filter having an integrator, the carrier frequency offset data obtained from the integrator (see at least FIG. 1B, item 42; it is noted that a phase lock loop or a carrier tracking loop comprises a low pass filter which is an integrator).

Claims 4 and 11

Krasner further discloses wherein the first processing circuitry is further operative to generate a first processing circuitry oscillator control signal (see at least FIG. 1B, items 42, 41 and 44).

Claims 5 and 12

Krasner does not specifically disclose wherein the first processing circuitry oscillator control signal is generated by a numerically controlled oscillator. However, Official notice is taken that a numerically controlled oscillator is another term for a digitally controlled oscillator (DCO), which is well known in the art to be an electronic system designed for the purpose of for synthesizing a range of frequencies from a fixed timebase (see Wikipedia, definition of Numerically-controlled oscillator). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the DCO for

device 42 to take advantage of the wider range of frequencies that the Dual PLL Synthesizer 42 in FIG. 1B of Krasner.

Claims 7 and 14

Does not specifically disclose wherein the first processing circuitry is operative to obtain carrier frequency offset data of both the first and second satellite signals, and the second processing circuitry is operative to frequency stabilize the uplink oscillator signal utilizing the obtained carrier frequency offset data of both the first and second satellite signals (see at least 6:14-23; FIG. 1A, item 16 and FIG. 1B, item 42).

Krasner does not disclose that the satellite signals are satellite television signals. Since the system taught by Krasner does provide capability to track and receive two satellite signals and an uplink circuit to send signals up to the satellite (at least FIGs. 1A-B). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system taught by Krasner to receive and send television signals instead of GPS signals for the purpose of reducing the costs of additional hardware (see at least 1:31-44) needed for a consumer ground station.

12. Claims 6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,825,327 to Krasner in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,355,532 by Kubo et al. ("Kubo").

Claims 6 and 13

Krasner does not specifically disclose wherein the first processing circuitry includes a satellite television signal demodulator. In an analogous art, Kubo discloses a tuner-demodulator that is capable of receiving both a general television signal

and satellite broadcast signal in a housing (FIG. 6) that is optimally designed for compactness (see at least 3:14-42).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Kubo's design of the tuner-demodulator in Krasner's system. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use Kubo in Krasner in order to first provide Krasner with the capability of receiving satellite television signals and secondly to do so without compromising the compactness and mobility of the Krasner system.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang-Vu "Antony" Nguyen-Ba whose telephone number is (571) 272-3701. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:15 am to 5:35 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached at (571) 272-7353.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2600 Group receptionist (571) 272-2600:

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status

Art Unit: 2623

information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

ANTONY NGUYEN-BA PRIMARY EXAMINER

December 21, 2006